

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
FILED IN THE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Aug 22, 2023

SEAN F. MCALVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

BILLY EDWARD TOYCEN,

No. 1:23-cv-3080-MKD

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
PURSUANT TO LCivR 41(b)(2)

v.

YAKIMA COUNTY DISTRICT  
COURT, YAKIMA COUNTY  
SUPERIOR COURT, and U.S.  
DISTRICT COURT,

Defendants.

By Order filed June 12, 2023, the Court directed Plaintiff to show cause why his application to proceed *in forma pauperis* should be granted. ECF No. 5. That Order, addressed to Plaintiff at the Yakima County Jail in Yakima, Washington, was returned as undeliverable on June 21, 2023. ECF No. 7. On June 16, 2023, the Court had received back the case opening letter, ECF No. 4, also as undeliverable. ECF No. 6.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO LCivR 41(b)(2) - 1

1 Local Civil Rule 41(b)(2) requires a *pro se* litigant to keep the Court and  
2 opposing parties advised as to his current mailing address. If mail directed to a *pro*  
3 *se* plaintiff is returned by the Postal Service, he has sixty (60) days to notify the  
4 Court and opposing parties of his current address or the Court may dismiss the  
5 action. LCivR 41(b)(2).

6 The Court has an interest in managing its docket and in the prompt  
7 resolution of civil matters. *See Destfino v. Reiswig*, 630 F.3d 952, 959 (9th Cir.  
8 2011) (affirming district court's inherent power to control its docket); *see also*  
9 *Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642-44 (9th Cir. 2002) (discussing factors to  
10 consider in dismissing a claim for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with  
11 court order, including the public's interest in expeditious resolution, the court's  
12 need to manage docket, and the risk of prejudice to defendants). Plaintiff has  
13 failed to keep the Court apprised of his current address.

14 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 15 1. This action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** pursuant to  
16 LCivR 41(b)(2).
- 17 2. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of  
18 this Order could not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in  
19 law or fact.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this Order,  
**enter judgment**, provide copies to Plaintiff at his last known address, and **CLOSE**  
the file.

**DATED** August 22, 2023.

s/Mary K. Dimke  
MARY K. DIMKE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE